

67 discussion concerning having her son Matt examined by a doctor? 2 I have no recollection of that. Did you ever learn that Shirley Spencer's son had been taken 3 4 to see a doctor? 5 Not to my recollection. Would it be fair to say that in fact you had more than a 6 professional relationship with Shirley Spencer during the 7 8 Spencer investigation? MR. SAMSON: Your Honor, I would have to object. 9 is irrelevant. The Ninth Circuit has affirmed the denial of the 10 claim regarding the alleged coercion by Mr. Davidson, allegedly 11 coercing the guilty plea. Any private relationship Mr. Davidson 12 had with Ms. Spencer is not relevant to the issues in this 13 case. Mr. Camiel can ask about what Mr. Davidson did, saw, 14 observed, but his personal relationship with Ms. Spencer is 15 irrelevant. 16 MR. CAMIEL: Your Honor, this line of questioning goes 17 toward our argument that the Clark County Sheriff's Office was 18 m.H.19 aware that had been referred for a medical exam and the amount of contact with 20 mother himself. THE COURT: I think he may answer. I don't want to go 21 into this in any depth, but I think he may answer the question. 22 (By Mr. Camiel) Isn't it in fact true that you had more 23 than a professional relationship with Shirley Spencer during the 24 25 Spencer investigation?

68 1 That's not correct, no. Did you see Shirley Spencer socially during the Spencer 2 3 investigation? After the Spencer investigation concluded. 4 Q. Do you remember telling Detective Krause during the pendency of the Spencer investigation that you were involved with Shirley 6 7 Spencer? 8 I don't recall that, no. In child sex abuse cases that were being investigated when you were the sergeant supervising the detectives doing those 10 investigations, was it a routine practice to have the children 11 referred to a doctor for an examination? 12 A. Without reviewing procedures pursuant to that particular 13 time in the investigation protocol, I can't tell you. 14 Q. Well, based on your memory of what actually occurred, apart 15 from any written procedures, was it a routine practice to have 16 17 children referred to a doctor? It would depend upon the allegation. 18 Where there were allegations with a female child of vaginal 19 penetration, would that be the kind af allegation where you 20 would expect there to be a referral to a doctor? 21 I would expect that there would be a referral, yes. 22 And with a male child, where there were allegations of anal 23 penetration, would that be the kind of allegation that you would 24 expect to result in a referral to a doctor? 25

answer to this out as soon as I can. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. (Recessed at 4:25 p.m.) CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. January 15, 1997

Case 3:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 61-1 Filed 05/18/12 Page 7 of 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA CLYDE RAYMOND SPENCER, Petitioner, Vs. No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF SHARON A. KRAUSE
IN THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA CLYDE RAYMOND SPENCER, Petitioner, Vs. No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
AT TACOMA CLYDE RAYMOND SPENCER, Petitioner, Vs. No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB AT TACOMA Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB Peritioner, Respondents OF
CLYDE RAYMOND SPENCER, Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB OF
Petitioner, Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
Petitioner, VS. No. C94-5238RJB JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. Petitioner, No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB No. C94-5238RJB Petitioner, Deposition Upon Oral Examination Deposition Upon Oral Examination Of
7 Vs. No. C94-5238RJB 8 JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, 10 Respondents. 12 DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION 15 OF
JOSEPH KLAUSER, Warden, Idaho State Institution; CHRISTINE GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
GREGOIRE, Attorney General, State of Washington, Respondents. DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
10 Respondents.) 12 13 14 DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION 15 OF
12 13 14 DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION 15 OF
12 13 14 DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION 15 OF
DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF 16
OF 16
I TOURDE
17
18
DATE TAKEN: May 22, 1996
20 TIME: 10:30 a.m.
21
PLACE: Hall of Justice
Longview, Washington
24
25
SUZAN R. WELLS Archer Associates, Inc.
Longview, Washington 98632
(360) 423-2195

•	
1	Case 3:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 61-1 Filed 05/18/12 Page 8 of 10 Yes. I'm sure it was.
2	Q What was significant about that? Why was that a
. 3	problem?
4	A Well, I think that's a big problem. We weren't looking
5	at one. There could have been others. The other thing
6	that concerned me personally was that I remember him
7	saying some of the other men had guns on their ankles.
. 8	Q You were concerned that the
9	Q You were concerned that these other potential suspects might be police officers?
10	A Absolutely.
11	
	investigation that followed up on that?
12	A There was. And I remember Jim Holtz and I discussing
13	that.
14	Q Jim Holtz was with the Vancouver Police Department?
15	A Was the detective who was doing it. Other than that, I
16	can't really tell you. We were never able to identify,
17	you know, if there was, who they were.
18	Q Now, during the period of time of the Spencer
19	investigation, did you become aware that your
20	supervisor, Mike Davidson, began having a romantic
21	relationship with Shirley Spencer?
22	
23	Jose on the
24	grounds of relevancy. This claim was addressed by the
25	ninth circuit and was rejected by the ninth circuit so I
	don't think the issue is really relevant anymore to this
V	
, ,	

1	Case 3	:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 61-1 Filed 05/18/12 Page 9 of 10 action. But you can answer if you want.
2	Q	(By Mr. Camiel:) You can answer.
3	A	I was aware of it, yes. So was everybody else.
4	Q	Was that ongoing while you were conducting your
5		investigation?
6	A	My memory of that, that was way on into the
7		investigation that I became aware of that. And I don't
8		I don't remember if it You know, it's been so
9		long. My recollection of that is that when I became
10		aware of that, it was long after I had interviewed
1,1		Little Matt. And I don't remember if it was before he
12		pled or after, to be honest with you. But at some point
13		I became but it was
14	Q	At the point where you learned about it, you've
15		indicated that it was long after you'd interviewed
16		Little Matt.
17	A	It seems to me. That's what I think it was. That's my
18		memory.
19	Q	I wanted to identify "Little" as
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Matt Hansen is the Matt that lived up here in the state
22		of Washington?
23	Α·	Right. His mother is Shirley Spencer.
24	Q	When you learned that your supervisor, Michael Davidson,
25		was involved with Shirley Spencer, at the point where
3		
1		Sharon A. Krause

Case 3:11-cv-05424-BHS Document 61-1 Filed 05/18/12 Page 10-of 10 1 CERTIFICATE OF NOATRY PUBLIC 2 3 STATE OF WASHINGTON) : ss. 4 County of Clark 5 6 I, SUZAN R. WELLS, a notary public for the State of 7 Washington, do hereby certify that SHARON A. KRAUSE, a witness, personally appeared before me at the time and place 8 mentioned in the caption herein; that said witness was by me 9 first duly sworn on oath, and examined upon oral 10 11 interrogatories propounded by counsel; that said examination, together with the testimony of said witness was written by 12 13 me in machine shorthand and thereafter reduced to 14 typewriting; and that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of said examination of and 15 16 testimony given by said witness, and of all other oral proceedings had during the taking of said deposition, and of 17 the whole thereof. Witness my hand and notarial seal the 28^{+1} May, 1996. SUZAN R. WELLS, CSR #WELLSSR325BH Notary Public for the State of

21

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Washington, residing at Vancouver, Washington. My commission expires on 8/29/97.

- 000138